Introduction

You have succeeded in the negotiations with the European Commission (EC) and have finally signed the Grant Agreement (GA). It is now time to start working for the implementation of the project for which you will receive funding from the EU.

In terms of Intellectual Property (IP), the implementation stage assumes particular importance as the use and dissemination of project results ('foreground') is a key objective of any FP7 project. Therefore you should plan

---

1 This fact sheet was first published in October 2011 and revised in April 2014.
the management, use and dissemination of the foreground as early as possible. As will be further explained in this document, at the proposal stage you already have to present a strategy or outline of your activities with regard to exploitation, use and dissemination, which will be the basis for the **plan for use and dissemination of the foreground (PUDF)**. However, divergences from the original plan may occur. Should this happen, you will always have the possibility to modify your plan according to the consortium obligations under the GA and towards the other project partners. At the end of the project you must submit a final PUDF where you also detail the plans for the **exploitation** of the project results **after the conclusion** of your work.

This final fact sheet\(^2\) has thus the purpose of highlighting the steps you need to follow to pave the way to the exploitation and dissemination of the IP generated during the implementation stage.

### 1. Implementation stage

As soon as you start implementing your project, you should be immediately thinking about how to organise **knowledge management** throughout the project life. That is, in order to successfully achieve the potential impact of your work, you need to focus on the tools to use for the protection, dissemination and utilisation of the knowledge/results you have been acquiring during the execution of the EU-funded project. Note in addition that during the implementation stage project partners usually need to give access rights to their knowledge in order for other partners to carry out their work on the project\(^3\).

One important thing you should consider as fundamental during the implementation stage is the monitoring of the existing IP. In fact, in the time-lapse between the approval of your proposal and the implementation, technology may have changed, so that you might need to realign your project accordingly.

#### 1.1 Knowledge management bodies

Dependent on the size of the project and the expected applicability of your project outcomes, you might want to appoint an **Exploitation Committee**. Such a committee could be chaired by a competent **exploitation manager**, who may help the consortium identify and look out for IP arising from the job carried out. As a mediator or arbitrator, the Exploitation Committee could provide advice and recommendations to the project partners, for example on the most suitable IP strategies. However, the Exploitation Committee role could also be played by the **Project Steering Committee**, which is a managerial body you often need to set

---

\(^2\) The European IPR Helpdesk has already published two previous fact sheets on the management of the IP at the proposal stage and during negotiations. You can find them in the European IPR Helpdesk [library.](#)

\(^3\) For an overall view on access rights see the factsheet “How to manage IP in FP7 during the negotiations phase” available in the European IPR Helpdesk [library.](#)
up for dealing with all the major project issues. Beside its management duties\(^4\), this latter Committee can take care of IP-related issues and then pursue the exploitation and dissemination of project results.

### 1.2 Results ownership

During the implementation stage you will certainly need to further discuss ownership issues related to the foreground. As highlighted in a previous fact sheet\(^5\), you should have already dealt with the IPR ownership within the **Consortium Agreement** (CA). However, the CA is amendable according to the project evolution and mainly the consortium needs, since not all the aspects are foreseeable at the start of the project.

One major issue that can arise in the execution of the project is the **IP jointly generated** by partners. Although they might want modify the joint ownership provision contemplated in the CA, it is always possible to draft a separate **joint ownership agreement** which may be more appropriate for specific cases, and only between the joint owners.

The following non-exhaustive checklist gives a glimpse of the rules that a joint ownership agreement should lay down:

- Exploitation of the ownership
- Sharing of IP costs
- Sharing of revenues
- Licence and assignment rights

**Transfer of ownership** is another important aspect to be taken into account. In fact, partners might want to permanently assign the ownership of their foreground\(^6\). This is generally allowed, as long as the obligations regarding that foreground are passed on to the transferee. This means that the assignor must conclude appropriate arrangements to ensure that its contractual obligations with respect to dissemination, use, and the granting of access rights are passed onto the new owner (as well as by the latter to any subsequent assignee).

---

\(^4\) Some of them are: approving the project charter, objectives, scope, and timeline, allocating resources to the project, providing external inputs, assessing progress against timing, deliverables, and budget, reviewing project budget and schedule, etc.

\(^5\) See the fact sheet "How to manage IP in FP7 during the negotiations phase" available in the European IPR Helpdesk library.

\(^6\) The transfer may take place for example when the owner partner does not wish to protect its foreground or is not willing to use it. As it is explained later on in this fact sheet, in both cases, he should offer its ownership to other project partners.
Transfer of ownership should be regulated in the CA too. However, as mentioned above, you can always re-negotiate its content in accordance with wishes of the other project partners.

1.3 Protecting results

Protection of foreground is in fact essential. The choice of the most suitable IP protection tool must be carefully made, in order for the result in question to be protected in the most adequate and effective manner, and in accordance with the other partners’ legitimate interests. This means that, although IP protection is vital for a prospective commercial or industrial exploitation, on the other hand it is not always mandatory, given for instance the lack of industrial applicability of the results. The choice will then follow the project specificities, where the latter may suggest different alternatives for the exploitation of the foreground.

Moreover, the results protection should reflect the use that the consortium wishes to make of the foreground, whether for direct commercial exploitation or for further internal research. In the case of the latter, for example, it would be appropriate to keep information confidential (i.e. confidential know-how, trade secret) and postpone the registration for obtaining an Intellectual Property Right (IPR), to allow further development of an invention and to avoid precipitate filings.

Although it is not mandatory to inform other partners about your personal protection activities, it is considered good practice to consult with them before deciding whether protecting your own foreground or not – particularly if you are dealing with potentially joint IP. In fact, where a participant does not intend to protect it, it should firstly offer to transfer it to other partners. In so doing, they might seek registration for that piece of foreground and avoid leaving it unprotected, to the competitors’ advantage.

In any case, where foreground capable of industrial or commercial application has not been protected, no dissemination activities may take place before the Commission has been informed, since it may protect it on behalf of the EU.

It is always advisable to entrust an IP attorney for filing a registration, since it implicates technical skills and requires detailed knowledge of rules and

---

7 For example, the free and open source software approach is perfectly valid for certain research results, but it is highly advisable that all project partners are informed of this strategy before the project starts in order to avoid possible conflicts.
8 See Article 44.2 of the Rules for the Participation in FP7 projects and Article II.28.3 of FP7 Grant Agreement – Annex II.
9 Ibid
procedures. **Once foreground has been protected**, then you can start planning how to **use and disseminate the project results**.

1.4 Using results

As already explained in previous fact sheets, as a participant to an FP7 EU-funded project you **ought to use** your foreground, or ensure that it is used, by means of direct and indirect utilisation in **further research activities** (development or improvement of the generated results) or in **commercial activities** (production and marketing of new products and services).

Accordingly, "**Use**" might take the form of **direct utilisation**, when the foreground owners intend to industrially or commercially exploit the results in personal activities, or **indirect utilisation**, when a transfer of the foreground is decided upon and other project partners or third parties exploit the project results, for example, through licences. In the latter case the obligation to use the foreground is passed on to the assignees. Additionally, "**Use**" also comprises the utilisation of foreground in further research activities which are not part of the project. This utilisation outside of any commercial exploitation is crucial for academic beneficiaries.

1.5 Disseminating results

In FP7 there is an **obligation to disseminate** the foreground swiftly. In this context, dissemination refers to the disclosure of project results by appropriate means. Scientific publications, general information on web sites or conferences are some examples of potential dissemination activities. Besides these just mentioned, you could also consider adhering to the **Open Access Movement**, where results from publicly funded projects can be freely accessed by people on the internet.

We have already seen that within the CA it is possible to include **conditions for dissemination**, whereby other partners will be aware of the procedures to follow before disclosing any information about the project. On the other hand, it

---

10 See the factsheets "How to manage IP in FP7 during the proposal stage" and "How to manage IP in FP7 during the negotiations phase", available in the European IPR Helpdesk library.
11 See Article 46.3 of the Rules for the Participation in FP7 projects and Article II.30.2 of FP7 Grant Agreement – Annex II
12 "Strategic Guide to Successful Use and Dissemination of the Results of Research and Development Projects".
13 The European Research Council (ERC) has issued guidelines in this area available at http://erc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/document/file/erc_scc_guidelines_open_access.pdf. The scope therein expressed is that all publicly funded, published, peer-reviewed works go open access within 6 months from publication.
14 See the factsheet "How to manage IP in FP7 during the negotiations phase", available in the European IPR Helpdesk library.
will always be possible to draft a **specific confidentiality agreement** including a specification of any data to be kept secret. This agreement might also be concluded before the proposal submission\(^{15}\) and could foresee measures to be taken to maintain confidentiality **during** and even **after the end of the project**. Once your proposal has been evaluated positively, confidentiality obligations are normally covered by respective clauses in the CA.

It is worth noting that **written** or **oral information** given to a person who is not bound by the secrecy or confidentiality obligations **constitutes a disclosure**. In such cases, disclosures could be detrimental to future filings for protection. Thereafter, it is vital to keep information confidential, mainly with regard to those project results for which registration is not planned yet.

Besides, you should be very cautious and also **deal with confidentiality internally** in your own organisation. That is, having a proper management system in place within your structure is vital in order to make sure that you comply with the confidentiality obligations set by the consortium. In fact, you might have a disclosure of confidential information made from other beneficiaries to your organisation and the other way round. Therefore, someone in your organisation should be in charge for deciding which information has to be classified and marked as confidential (confidentiality labelling), otherwise valuable information may be lost during the implementation of the project.

---

**How do I manage confidential information internally?**

Tasks to consider:

- **a) concluding confidentiality agreements with employees**
  - having confidentiality agreements in place with employees in order to make sure that they are under the same obligations as your organisation;
  - raise awareness on the importance of confidentiality among employees;

- **b) storing confidentiality information safely**
  - mark documents as “CONFIDENTIAL” (CO);
  - store these documents separately and safely, making sure that you can limit and monitor access to them;
  - review the documents periodically to assess whether confidentiality obligations are still in force and whether you must return or destroy them;

- **c) disclosing information to the other beneficiaries**
  - review all communications before any disclosure to assess the confidential character of them;
  - mark confidential information as “CONFIDENTIAL” (CO).

---

\(^{15}\) See the factsheet “How to manage IP in FP7 during the proposal stage”, available in the European IPR Helpdesk **library**.
Moreover, prior to any dissemination activity **other partners should be consulted** in order for them to exercise their **right to object** in case where such dissemination could cause great harm to their background/foreground. The GA sets some terms both for the notification of the planned activity to other partners and for them to object to such dissemination\(^\text{16}\). Beneficiaries may nevertheless convene on different time limits within the CA, as for instance shortening notification time from 45 to 30 days.

Regarding this, one other aspect should be taken into account. You should **define**, and periodically revise, what **non-confidential information** can be disclosed, so that project partners are already aware of what information can be safely used in outside conversations and/or negotiations with third parties.

As stated above, in terms of dissemination different channels can be envisaged. With the purpose of assisting beneficiaries in the implementation of a successful dissemination strategy, the EC has created a dedicated website\(^\text{17}\), which is primarily focused on the use of the “mass media” to widely communicate research results. It also covers publications on the web and other media such as print publications, CDs and video.

It is important to bear in mind that an accurate dissemination planning is preparatory for future commercial exploitation and marketing of products and services resulting from the project. Indeed, these activities will enable you to gain financial profit from the outcomes of your project. Once more, plans for **dissemination activities** must already be outlined at the proposal stage and, while carrying out your research, you have an obligation to **periodically report** them to the EC.

2. **Project conclusion**

At the end of the project thus a **conclusive PUDF** has to be submitted in order for the EC to evaluate the use which the consortium intends to make of its project results, as well as to evaluate the success of the project.

However, even **after the conclusion** of the project, a number of **IPR provisions will remain in force** such as obligations regarding confidentiality, use and dissemination as well as some provisions of the CA. Consequently, beneficiaries are required to properly manage the post-contract phase too, with

\(^\text{16}\) See Article 46.4 of the Rules for the Participation in FP7 projects and Article II.30.3 of FP7 Grant Agreement – Annex II. See also the fact sheet “How to manage IP in FP7 during the negotiations phase”, available in the European IPR Helpdesk library.

arrangements in the final PUDF and provisions in specific agreements (i.e. CA, joint ownership and confidentiality agreements).

2.1 PUDF final report

The GA foresees the obligation to submit a final report to the EC within 60 days after the end of the project. Among other issues, it is mandatory to include in this report the PUDF18.

The PUDF is an extremely important deliverable of an FP7 project and it is relevant at all stages of a project. The final PUDF must describe the activities beneficiaries have already carried out during the project implementation and still expect to develop with the purpose of allowing the dissemination and use of the foreground at the end of the project. Within this document, beneficiaries have also to envisage the strategy for the management of intellectual property rights in order to demonstrate the positive impact of their project so that the EC may justify its funding.

As with any other report, the EC have the right to reject the PUDF – for example, if it is not detailed enough – and terminate the project19. Thus, beneficiaries should take the time to prepare it carefully.

The final PUDF should include two sections. In Section A, beneficiaries are requested to list the scientific publications concerning the foreground, as well as other dissemination activities (including those already completed and the ones foreseen for the future).

It is important to include sufficient information to allow the EC to identify and check these activities.

Hence, it is usually necessary to mention20

(i) In the list of scientific publications: title, author, title of the periodical or the series, number/date or frequency, publisher, place and year of publication, pages, permanent identifiers and information concerning open access;

(ii) In the list of dissemination measures: the type of activity (web site, conference...), the main leader, title, date and place, type and size of audience and countries addressed.

18 Please see article II.4.2.b of the Grant Agreement.
19 Please see article II.5.3 of the Grant Agreement.
On the other hand, in **Section B** of the PUDF, beneficiaries must define the exploitable foreground and their plans for its exploitation\(^{21}\). Hence, the following information must be included in detail:

(i) all the intellectual property rights applied for and registered;

(ii) all the exploitable foreground, including its description and sector of application;

(iii) an explanation concerning the plans for the future use of foreground, either in research and/or commercially.

As in section A, this part of the PUDF must include all the activities carried out during the project and those foreseen to be implemented after its end.

**Confidentiality** issues are very important when preparing and writing the PUDF. In fact, section A is disclosed publicly by the EC, while the information included in section B is also made available to the public, except if clearly marked by beneficiaries as confidential. Hence, any confidential data should be omitted from section A or marked as confidential if it is included in section B.

---

**How do I mark my report as confidential?**

In the *Guidance Notes on Project Reporting*, the EC has established a list of codes that may be used by beneficiaries when marking the level of dissemination of deliverables. Since these codes are known and understood by all beneficiaries and the EC, it is therefore advisable to make use of them.

- **PU** = Public
- **PP** = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)
- **RE** = Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)
- **CO** = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)

---

2.2  PUDF implementation

2.2.1. Confidentiality

With the conclusion of the GA, beneficiaries agree to keep secret any confidential information not only during the implementation of the project, but also after its completion. At least during the five years after the end of the project, beneficiaries, as well as the EC, are bound by these obligations. However, it may be agreed to extend the five-year term in the CA, and the EC, upon a concrete and justified request, may also accept its extension in relation to defined confidential information.

At times, beneficiaries may also decide to conclude bilateral agreements dealing with the delivery of specific confidential information, with additional years of confidentiality obligations.

2.2.2. Post-project obligations

Even after the end of the project, participants should be careful not to forget to comply with a few IP-related provisions of the GA that remain in force. The use and dissemination obligations are some of those, in particular the obligation to notify the EC of any patent applications relating to foreground arising after the final PUDF. Moreover, beneficiaries are entitled to request access rights up to one year (or any other time limit agreed) after the conclusion of the project.

The post-project stage and the effective exploitation of the project foreground is, however, not an issue limited to the mere provisions of the GA that remain in force. In fact, given the limited duration of the GA provisions, several problems are likely to arise if no specific post-contract provisions are put in place (e.g. limited duration of access rights). Moreover, in some projects results, exploitation may lead to joint activities. Thus, in order to ensure that the post-project phase proceeds efficiently, beneficiaries are also advised to contractually establish all the obligations of the parties in terms of new IPR arising from those activities.

Besides, beneficiaries should also take account of the provisions contained in their CA. As mentioned in a previous fact sheet, the CA should envisage post-project provisions in view of the foreground exploitation after the project end, especially aimed at defining the management of the IPR which remain in force after the conclusion of the project. In addition to that, consortia should regulate the ownership of and access rights to subsequent new inventions.

---

22 See fact sheet “How to manage IP in FP7 during the negotiations phase”, available in the European IPR Helpdesk Library.
2.2.3. Advanced IPR strategies

Depending on the project, beneficiaries may decide to exploit their foreground by setting up a “start-up” with other partners or by creating one or more “patent pools”.

- By means of a **start-up**, beneficiaries create a **new and separate legal entity** which would **own** the **IPR** acquired during the project life with the aim of **jointly exploiting** them in a more flexible fashion.

- With a **patent pool** owners agree to **assemble** their **patents** so that they can be **freely used** by or **cross-licensed** between all the **participants** (such a tool might also be used for other IPR).

Subject to the commitments in the GA, beneficiaries are free to decide the most appropriate solution for them. However, all these plans should be mentioned in the PUDF. Note that when envisaging such strategies an appropriate consultation with specialists is strictly needed.

In conclusion, properly exploiting the foreground after the project gives you the chance to profit from the marketing and commercialisation of the knowledge acquired during your research. Moreover, by acquiring more IPR, it is possible to expand the IP portfolio and increase the value of your organisation. Therefore, a structured planning is strongly advisable to make profitable commercial exploitation of the foreground generated.
Useful Resources

For the preparation of this fact sheet, the European IPR Helpdesk had in consideration the “Guide to Intellectual Property Rules for FP7 Projects” prepared by the EC, as well as the “Strategic Guide to Successful Use and Dissemination of the Results of Research and Development Projects”.

For further information also see:

- ”Strategic Guide to Successful Use and Dissemination of the Results of Research and Development Projects“: http://ec.europa.eu/research/sme-techweb/pdf/use_diffuse.pdf#view=fit&pagemode=non
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