1. Background

Mr van Hepart is a creative and passionate furniture designer running his own successful business of manufacturing and distribution of comfortable sofas and armchairs in the centre of Brussels. One day, in the course of his activity, he developed the idea of an innovative sofa design whose lines, contours, colour and shape contribute to conferring an appealing appearance to the piece of furniture.

He began to commercialise the product, which became very popular in Belgium, to the extent that an interior design magazine dedicated an entire page to the sofa including images of the product along with an interview with the designer.
2. Issues faced

After one year and a half, Mr van Hepart discovered that a competitor in Spain had begun to sell sofas reproducing the lines, contours, colour and shape of his popular sofa. And if this was not enough, the competitor offered the product at a lower price. Conscious that such circumstances could cause a consistent economic loss, he decided to ask the advice of a legal advisor in order to assess the chances of protecting his design rights.

The advisor showed that, in order to prevent third party copies in the entire territory of the European Union, it was possible to protect the design rights through the Registered Community Design (RCD) or the Unregistered Community Design (UCD). The first one confers a long protection of 5 years renewable for five-year periods, up to a maximum of 25 years. Unfortunately, in this specific case, the divulgation of the sofa design (i.e. in the relevant case of commercialisation and publication of images in a specialised magazine), for a period exceeding one year, did not comply with the novelty requirement to obtain a RCD.

Nevertheless, while lacking any design registration, Mr van Hepart’s design rights could be protected through the Unregistered Community Design, granting an exclusive right for a period of three years from the date on which the design was first made available to the public.

Therefore the advice provided by the legal advisor was the following:

1. to send a cease and desist letter to refrain and stop the infringing conduct on the basis of the UCD;
2. to enforce the unregistered design rights before a court if the infringing conduct persists;
3. to develop an effective strategy for the protection of the design rights in future products, being aware that registering a Community Design (granting an exclusive right for up to 25 years) may not be possible if the design is divulged (through its commercialisation, publication, etc.) after the 1-year grace period (1 year from the first divulgation).

3. Actions undertaken and results

Mr van Hepart finally managed to solve the issue by reaching an amicable settlement with the infringing third party who ceased commercialisation of the sofa. Unfortunately, due to the earlier divulgation of his design, he was not able to register his community design (potentially granting a protection up to a maximum of 25 years) but he could rely on his unregistered design (granting protection for three years from the date of the first divulgation). Nevertheless, once the UCD expires, his sofa design will enter the public domain.
4. Lessons learned

As shown above, securing IP rights does not necessarily require registration but the choice of relying on an unregistered IP right should also take into consideration the protection granted. The unregistered design grants an exclusive right for a relatively short period (three years). Such an instrument is very useful to secure the design rights in products with a short market life (e.g. seasonal products in fashion industries). On the contrary, it could appear as a weak instrument for products with a potentially long market life, given that the unregistered design will enter the public domain after three years.

Economic operators should be aware of the different instruments offered in order to set the best IP practices in accordance with their marketing and sale goals.

In this regard, an adapted training on the available instruments to secure one's own intellectual property rights appears to be relevant in order to effectively manage potential conflicting situations and to valorise one's own intangible assets in the light of the planned business strategy.
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The European IPR Helpdesk aims at raising awareness of Intellectual Property (IP) and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) by providing information, direct advice and training on IP and IPR matters to current and potential participants of EU funded projects. In addition, the European IPR Helpdesk provides IP support to EU SMEs negotiating or concluding transnational partnership agreements, especially through the Enterprise Europe Network. All services provided are free of charge.

Helpline: The Helpline service answers your IP queries within three working days. Please contact us via registration on our website – www.iprhelpdesk.eu – phone or fax.

Website: On our website you can find extensive information and helpful documents on different aspects of IPR and IP management, especially with regard to specific IP questions in the context of EU funded programmes.

Newsletter and Bulletin: Keep track of the latest news on IP and read expert articles and case studies by subscribing to our email newsletter and Bulletin.

Training: We have designed a training catalogue consisting of nine different modules. If you are interested in planning a session with us, simply send us an email at training@iprhelpdesk.eu.
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